

② Let $\lambda \in F, \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda(x,y,z)) &= T((\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z)) = (\lambda x + 4(\lambda y) + 2(\lambda z), \lambda x + \lambda y - \lambda z, -\lambda x + \lambda z) \\ &= \lambda(x + 4y + 2z, x + y - z, -x + z) \\ &= \lambda T(x,y,z) \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Does this look familiar? Can we write it another way?

$$T \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + 4y + 2z \\ x + y - z \\ -x + z \end{pmatrix}$$

\uparrow input into \uparrow output/range

In general, any linear map from F^n to F^n is of the form

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n, a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n, \dots, a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow T \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Prop: Suppose v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V and $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W$. Then there exists a unique linear map $T: V \rightarrow W$ s.t. $Tv_j = w_j$ for all $j=1, \dots, n$

Proof in Axler, but the basic idea is that if we know ^{or define} where a map sends the basis, it determines where everything else is sent. (By additivity + homogeneity + $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$)

The map: $T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = c_1w_1 + \dots + c_nw_n$

Ex Let $V=W=P_2$. $B = \{1, 1+x, 1+x+x^2\}$. We define T by $T(1)=x$, $T(1+x)=x^2$

and $T(1+x+x^2)=1$. If we insist T is linear, then this is a linear transformation.

Since we defined T on a basis, we see where it sends any $p \in P_2$:

First, let $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2$. Then in terms of B , we can express p as

$$p(x) = (a_0 - a_1)1 + (a_1 - a_2)(1+x) + a_2(1+x+x^2).$$

$$\text{Then } T(p(x)) = T((a_0 - a_1)1 + (a_1 - a_2)(1+x) + a_2(1+x+x^2)).$$

$$= (a_0 - a_1)T(1) + (a_1 - a_2)T(1+x) + a_2T(1+x+x^2) \quad \text{since } T \text{ is linear}$$

$$= (a_0 - a_1)x + (a_1 - a_2)x^2 + a_2(1)$$

Ex ① Show that the map $\text{Int}: P_2 \rightarrow P_3$ defined by $\text{Int}(p) = \int_0^x p(t) dt$ is a linear map

\uparrow
antiderivative of p s.t. $p(0)=0$.

② Let $B_1 = \{1, x, x^2\}$ and $B_2 = \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$ be bases for P_2, P_3 respectively. Find the coordinates of the image of every vector in B_1 with respect to B_2 .

③ What is $\text{Int}(4+6x-9x^2)$? How many ways can you find this?

Solution: ① First, note that the map is well-defined. Every polynomial in P_2 will map to only one polynomial in P_3 with constant term 0.

$$\text{Let } p_1, p_2 \in P_2. \text{ Then } \text{Int}(p_1 + p_2) = \int_0^x (p_1 + p_2)(t) dt = \int_0^x p_1(t) dt + \int_0^x p_2(t) dt = \text{Int}(p_1) + \text{Int}(p_2) \checkmark$$

Now, let $p \in P_2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\text{Int}(\lambda p) = \int_0^x (\lambda p)(t) dt$

$$= \int_0^x \lambda (p(t)) dt$$

$$= \lambda \int_0^x p(t) dt = \lambda \text{Int}(p) \checkmark$$

Therefore, $\text{Int}(p)$ is a linear map.

$$\textcircled{2} \text{Int}(1) = \int_0^x 1 dt = x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{B_2}$$

$$\text{Int}(x) = \int_0^x t dt = \frac{x^2}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{B_2}$$

$$\text{Int}(x^2) = \int_0^x t^2 dt = \frac{x^3}{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}_{B_2}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \text{ one option: By definition of Int: } \text{Int}(4+6x-9x^2) = \int_0^x 4+6t-9t^2 dt$$

$$= 4x + 3x^2 - 3x^3$$

Another, by linearity of Int: $\text{Int}(4+6x-9x^2) = 4\text{Int}(1) + 6\text{Int}(x) - 9\text{Int}(x^2)$

$$= 4x + 6\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) - 9\left(\frac{x^3}{3}\right) \quad \text{from } \textcircled{2}$$

$$= 4x + 3x^2 - 3x^3$$

Third, by matrix multiplication:

$$\text{Int}(4+6x-9x^2) = \text{Int} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ -9 \end{pmatrix}_{B_1}$$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}}_{[\text{Int}]_{B_1, B_2}} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ -9 \end{pmatrix}_{B_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}_{B_2} = 4x + 3x^2 - 3x^3$$

So we can combine our notion of coordinates with the generalization $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ to represent any transformation:

Def: Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation and $B_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, $B_2 = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ be bases for V & W respectively. Let a_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ be the scalars that determine T wrt B_1 & B_2 . Then the $m \times n$ matrix (a_{ij}) is the matrix of T with respect to B_1 & B_2 , $[T]_{B_1, B_2}$

Steps to find $[T]_{B_1, B_2}$:

- ① Find the image of every basis vector for V under T
- ② Find the coordinates of the image with respect to W 's basis
- ③ Columns of $[T]_{B_1, B_2} =$ coordinates from ②