

Similarly,

Prop 4:  $a \cdot 0 = 0 \quad \forall a \in F$  (Proof in text)

Prop 5:  $(-1) \cdot v = -v \quad \forall v \in V$   
 $\uparrow$  scalar multiplication by  $-1$       $\uparrow$  additive inverse of  $v$

Proof: If  $(-1) \cdot v$  is  $-v$ , then  $v + (-1) \cdot v$  must be  $0$ .

$$v + (-1) \cdot v = 1 \cdot v + (-1) \cdot v = (1 + (-1)) \cdot v = 0 \cdot v = 0 \text{ by Prop 3. } \square$$

$\uparrow$  multiplicative identity      $\uparrow$  distribution

### More Examples of Vector Spaces that we'll be using

Ex: Define  $P_n = \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \mid a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$   
= set of all polynomials of degree  $\leq n$

$P_n$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

If  $f, g \in P_n$ ,  $f+g$ ?  $f = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$       $g = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n$   
 $f+g = (a_0+b_0) + (a_1+b_1)x + \dots + (a_n+b_n)x^n$

Note:  $f = \underline{x^3} - 2x^2 + 8$       $g = \underline{-x^3} + 8x - 4 \rightarrow f+g = \underline{-2x^2} + 8x + 4$      need  $\leq n$  for closed  
on your own  $\rightarrow$  go through checklist.

Scalar multiplication:  $\lambda f = \lambda(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) = \lambda a_0 + \lambda a_1x + \dots + \lambda a_nx^n$

Notation: If  $S$  is a set  $F^S$  denotes the set of functions from  $S$  to  $F$  ( $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ )

Ex  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} = \{\text{functions from } \mathbb{R} \text{ to } \mathbb{R}\} \Rightarrow x^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}, \sin(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$

$\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  also has  $x^2$  &  $\sin(x)$ .  $\frac{1}{x-3} \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  BUT!  $\frac{1}{x-3} \notin \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$

All vector spaces! vectors = functions here.

Ex  $V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$      is this a vector space?

No!  $2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2a & 2b \\ 2c & 2 \end{pmatrix} \notin V$      not closed under scalar multiplication.

### Subspaces

What if we take a subset of a known vector space? Is it necessarily a vector space? **No!**

Def: A subset  $U$  of a vector space  $V$  is called a subspace of  $V$  if it is also a vector space using the same addition and scalar multiplication as  $V$ .

Proposition: Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$  and let  $U \subseteq V$  "is a subset of"

Then  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if

- $0 \in U$  (additive identity)
- $U$  is closed under  $+$ :  $\forall u, w \in U \Rightarrow u+w \in U$ .
- $U$  is closed under  $\cdot$ :  $\forall a \in F, u \in U \Rightarrow au \in U$ .

\*NOTE: This means (if true) we don't need to prove all the vector space axioms if we know the set is a subset of a known vector space ( $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n, M_n(\mathbb{R}), P_n$ , etc.)

Notation: "if and only if" = "iff" or  $\iff$

Proof: If  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  ( $\implies$ ) then the three conditions are satisfied  
( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose  $U \subset V$  and satisfies the three conditions. We need to prove  $U$  is a vector space.

By assumption,  $0 \in U$ ,  $U$  is closed under  $+$ , and  $U$  is closed under  $\cdot$ .

Commutativity of  $+$ , associativity of  $+$ , associativity of  $\cdot$ , multiplicative identity all hold in  $U$  since they hold in the larger space  $V$ .

Additive Inverse? If  $u \in U$ , we need  $-u \in U$ .

By assumption,  $U$  is closed under scalar multiplication. So  $(-1) \cdot u \in U$ . Then by Prop 5,  $-u \in U$ .

$\implies U$  is a vector space inside of  $V$  so it is a subspace of  $V$ .  $\square$